

To: Cosumnes Subbasin SGMA Working Group Members
From: CBI and Water Forum Staff
Date: July 14, 2017
Re: Draft Framework Agreement

Attached is an updated Draft Framework Agreement being developed to support the Cosumnes Subbasin SGMA Working Group. The latest version has been updated to reflect Working Group recommended edits based on its June 26, 2017, meeting. All new text is marked in underline. Key changes are the following:

- Adding the section on GSP development distributed and discussed at the June 29 meeting. This new language was endorsed by five of the six GSAs present; Sloughhouse did not endorse the language pending further review and discussion with its constituents.
- Adding the near-term budget to support the cost-share language
- Clarifying language related to the quorum needed for decision-making (non-substantive change)

As has been discussed on several occasions, this framework is intended to guide the Working Group's near-term discussions and, in particular, enable action over the next 6-12 months to support the technical work needed to begin characterizing the subbasin. As we have also discussed before, to the extent this framework proves helpful, it is possible parties may wish to use this to guide discussions beyond the near-term timeframe. Eventually, this framework is expected to be replaced by an MOU, MOA, JPA or some other document intended to more formally codify parties' roles and responsibilities, governance, funding, outreach and implementation approaches.

It is our recommendation that the Working Group make any final revisions to this Framework Agreement at its July 19 meeting and forward it to its respective governing bodies for formal review and adoption. As we did at the June meeting, we will be seeking to confirm each Working Group members' ability to recommend adoption by their respective governing bodies.

This draft, prepared by the Water Forum and Consensus Building Institute at the direction of the Cosumnes Subbasin Working Group, is a work-in-progress intended to spark discussion among parties and propel and track progress. It has not yet been endorsed by the Working Group. This document reflects the most recent changes based on the 6/26/17 Cosumnes SGMA Working Group meeting.

**Cosumnes Subbasin SGMA Working Group
Draft Framework Agreement to
Guide Near-Term Working Group Deliberations**

*(As of 7/14/17; most recent revisions are highlighted; for review and final confirmation by
Working Group at its 7/19/17 meeting)*

Purpose

The purpose of this Framework Agreement is to outline and confirm the interim process the parties (outlined in the following section) will use to work collaboratively to begin developing a SGMA-compliant Groundwater Sustainability Plan for the Cosumnes Subbasin.

This agreement is intended to guide the parties' deliberations over the next 6-12 months, though it may be used over a longer time period if beneficial. Prior to 2022, this agreement is to be replaced by an MOU, MOA, JPA or other vehicle intended to more formally codify governance, funding, outreach and implementation approaches.

This agreement is not effective until endorsed by all parties. The intention is to have a final draft of this framework agreement completed by mid-May 2017 to support formal adoption by all parties' governing bodies prior to or as close to July 1, 2017, as possible.

This agreement may be amended or revised with the agreement of all parties. Parties also have the right to withdraw from this agreement. If any party is considering withdrawing from the agreement, the party is asked to disclose its intent and rationale as soon as possible to the Working Group. Formal intention of withdrawal shall be given with not less than a 30-day advance notice. Financial obligations outlined in the approved budget and incurred prior to the notice to withdrawal, if any, are to be honored.

Overarching Approach

Under California law, SGMA requires the Cosumnes Subbasin to form a groundwater sustainability agency or agencies (GSAs) by June 30, 2017, and have a groundwater sustainability plan (GSP) in place by 2022.

The following agencies (referred to as the parties in this agreement) have either filed or expressed their intention to file with the state to form a GSA within the Cosumnes Subbasin: Omochumne-Hartnell Water District; Sloughouse Resource Conservation District; Galt Irrigation District; Clay Irrigation District; City of Galt; Amador County (Groundwater Management Authority); and Sacramento County. Parties to the agreement may change based on pending governance decisions for the currently unmanaged areas within the subbasin.

This draft, prepared by the Water Forum and Consensus Building Institute at the direction of the Cosumnes Subbasin Working Group, is a work-in-progress intended to spark discussion among parties and propel and track progress. It has not yet been endorsed by the Working Group. This document reflects the most recent changes based on the 6/26/17 Cosumnes SGMA Working Group meeting.

These parties commit to working cooperatively with the current goal of developing a single, integrated, SGMA-compliant GSP¹ to foster plan effectiveness, coordination and efficiencies. However, nothing in this Framework Agreement precludes the GSAs from making a collective decision at a later point to pursue coordinated GSPs (as opposed to a single integrated GSP) if that is considered advantageous to facilitate implementation.

Parties recognize that the GSP may include distinct management areas to foster implementation and monitoring; these zones may or may not track fully with each GSA's respective jurisdiction. The intent is to give each GSA governing body the maximum flexibility to manage groundwater usage within their respective jurisdictions. The exact structure of any management areas will be determined as the GSP is developed. Parties also recognize that the development of a comprehensive GSP for the defined subbasin (i.e., plan area) will require analysis of information and data from a broader geographic study area.

Parties further recognize the importance of engaging stakeholders and the broader public in discussions related to GSP development and implementation and commit to putting in place a transparent and inclusive process to foster the consideration of the beneficial uses and users of groundwater in the basin.

Finally, parties will work to identify an entity to take the lead on administrative aspects related to near-term GSP development.

Guiding Principles

Parties agree to the following principles to inform and guide Working Group deliberations, foster constructive discussions, promote a clear and shared set of expectations, and encourage collaboration.

- ***Craft a GSP that respects local jurisdictions while building subbasin-wide approach.*** Parties are committed to working together to develop an integrated and effective GSP, while respecting each GSA's interest and expertise to oversee implementation within its unique jurisdiction or distinct planning areas.
- ***Recognize mutual interdependence.*** Parties recognize the value of all sectors in supporting a vibrant region and will work to foster dialogues that acknowledge and build on this interdependence. This includes building on past work while maintaining a forward-looking dialogue.
- ***Commitment to collaborate.*** All parties agree to work together in a constructive manner to meet SGMA requirements based on a locally driven approach. No one is to benefit at the expense of others, and all parties agree to negotiate in good faith – communicating their interests, honoring commitments and acting consistently across different forums.

This draft, prepared by the Water Forum and Consensus Building Institute at the direction of the Cosumnes Subbasin Working Group, is a work-in-progress intended to spark discussion among parties and propel and track progress. It has not yet been endorsed by the Working Group. This document reflects the most recent changes based on the 6/26/17 Cosumnes SGMA Working Group meeting.

- **Strive for consensus.** SGMA demands close collaboration and coordination among the GSAs if the subbasin is to develop a credible and effective GSP. To ensure broad support, parties recognize the imperative to reach broad agreement among all parties and will strive for consensus throughout the process.
- **Rely on credible process.** To foster effective dialogues, parties agree to mutually support a transparent and inclusive process where parties: (1) have a voice through balanced representation and effective meeting protocols; (2) commit to rely on credible data and clear criteria to inform decision-making; (3) draw on the advice of a technical advisor(s) selected by the Working Group to support its development of a GSP; and (4) commit to resolve differences but include mechanisms to avoid impasse. Additionally, the convening/facilitation team is to work in service of all parties.
- **Build progress through incremental agreements.** Participants will use preliminary agreements on issues as the basis for progress towards final agreement. The Working Group will revisit preliminary agreements when new information emerges and again when finalizing overall recommendations.
- **Support effective and efficient processes.** Parties are committed to building off existing structures and past work, where practicable, to leverage past investments and make the best use of everyone's time and resources.
- **Accommodate uncertainties.** Parties recognize that actions both within and outside the sub-region may impact GSP development and even affect basin boundaries. Parties agree to work adaptively to track and accommodate for such uncertainties.

Collaborative Protocols

The facilitator/convening team will work with participants to create a problem-solving environment through the following collaborative protocols:

- **Broad participation**
 - Strive to attend meetings consistently; we need everyone at the table
 - Contribute your thoughts, but share time so everyone can participate
 - Seek opportunities to share your perspectives and understand the perspectives of others
 - Listen hard to what others are saying; we need to figure out together what are the better ways forward
- **Honest but respectful engagement**
 - Be as honest, fair and candid as possible (we need to understand what each other is thinking), but engage professionally
 - Respect ideas offered by others; all ideas and points of view have value
 - If you hear something you do not understand, ask questions to clarify
 - If you hear something you do not agree with, help people understand your concerns
 - Avoid personal comments; refrain from characterizing other's remarks

This draft, prepared by the Water Forum and Consensus Building Institute at the direction of the Cosumnes Subbasin Working Group, is a work-in-progress intended to spark discussion among parties and propel and track progress. It has not yet been endorsed by the Working Group. This document reflects the most recent changes based on the 6/26/17 Cosumnes SGMA Working Group meeting.

- **Forward-looking dialogue**

- Creative thinking and problem-solving are essential to success; try to think about problems in a new way
- Seek to integrate ideas across participants; marry a concern with a solution
- Focus on issues, not personalities

Near-Term Collaboration Structure

To support effective deliberations that foster informed dialogue and broadly supported actions and ensure constituents are fairly represented, the parties agree to the following near-term collaboration structure:

- The parties will establish a Working Group to guide near-term, collaborative development of the subbasin's GSP. The Working Group will consist of up to 2 representatives from each GSA to foster equal participation among the parties.
- Consistent with the Guiding Principles outlined above, the parties will strive to reach full consensus on all actions under discussion. To that end, each GSA commits to make a genuine effort to achieve consensus. Consensus is the preferred method for reaching agreement; voting is a last resort.
- No action will be taken at any Working Group meeting unless at least 5 of the 7 GSAs are present.
- When full consensus is not possible, fiscal decisions will require a super-majority of the GSAs (at least 5 out of 7) to balance the need for broad support among the parties, fair representation and timely action. Fiscal decisions expected in the next 6-12 months are summarized in the attached document.
- When full consensus is not possible, non-fiscal decisions will require a simple majority of the GSAs (at least 4 out of 7). Non-fiscal decisions expected in the next 6-12 months are summarized in the attached document.
- GSA representatives unable to attend a meeting may vote by proxy; they are, however, encouraged to provide an alternate.
- If and when votes are needed, each GSA will have one vote only to ensure equal voice among the parties.

This agreement is not intended to cover decisions related to GSP implementation (e.g., pumping fees, etc.) as those will not be considered by the Working Group within the 6-12 month horizon of this framework agreement. Rather, those issues are expected to be covered by whatever governance vehicle the GSAs choose to replace this Framework Agreement.

Technical Support

To support well informed decisions, the parties will establish a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to provide input and recommendations to the Working Group on technical aspects of groundwater sustainability plan development and, later on, implementation. The TAC works in

This draft, prepared by the Water Forum and Consensus Building Institute at the direction of the Cosumnes Subbasin Working Group, is a work-in-progress intended to spark discussion among parties and propel and track progress. It has not yet been endorsed by the Working Group. This document reflects the most recent changes based on the 6/26/17 Cosumnes SGMA Working Group meeting.

service of the Cosumnes Subbasin SGMA Working Group. A separate Terms of Reference has been developed for the TAC.

The parties also intend to secure outside technical advisor(s) to support GSP development. Other support (e.g., legal advisors) will be considered and secured, as needed, by the Working Group.

Near-Term Cost Share

The parties to this agreement are developing a near-term budget through December 2017 ([see attached](#)) to cover costs associated with developing a grant proposal, hiring a technical advisor and launching initial tasks needed to begin characterizing the subbasin. The Water Forum and Sacramento County collectively anticipate covering these near-term costs. It is the intention of the parties to recognize this contribution as part of the total GSP preparation cost.

Longer-term costs will be identified and approved as part of the GSA's annual budgeting process. It is expected that Proposition 1 grant funding will cover 50% of the total longer-term costs related to plan development. It is anticipated that the Water Forum and Sacramento County will collectively cover at least 40% of these total longer-term costs; Sacramento County staff will recommend to the Board of Supervisors that it pay its share of the 40%. Sacramento County contributions will be made via Zone 13 funds; the precise contribution is to be determined by the Board of Supervisors. It is anticipated that the remaining costs will be split across the other GSAs by a formula to be mutually determined and agreed to. Contributions are both cash and in-kind.

GSP Development

Development of the GSP is beyond the scope of this current Framework Agreement. It is expected that the GSAs will develop a subsequent agreement within the next 6-12 months that will cover the terms of GSP production.

In the near term, GSAs will work together to define the scope of studies or data collection needed to inform GSP development. To the extent practicable, GSAs will include data collection and studies identified by each GSA as necessary to inform GSP development. If there is disagreement on the GSP scope, definition of studies, or data collection that is necessary or possible, the Working Group will seek input from the Independent Technical Advisor to inform its decision-making.

Nothing in this agreement precludes an individual GSA from pursuing its own individual studies at its own cost. The Working Group, with the advice of the Independent Technical Advisor, will jointly determine the extent to which such individual studies are to be used to inform the GSP.

Nothing in the agreement precludes an individual GSA from preparing its own GSP. If a GSA chooses to produce a separate GSP, a Coordination Agreement, per SGMA statute and DWR

This draft, prepared by the Water Forum and Consensus Building Institute at the direction of the Cosumnes Subbasin Working Group, is a work-in-progress intended to spark discussion among parties and propel and track progress. It has not yet been endorsed by the Working Group. This document reflects the most recent changes based on the 6/26/17 Cosumnes SGMA Working Group meeting.

regulations, shall be developed between the preparers of the separate GSP and the Working Group.

Stakeholder Outreach and Engagement

To foster the consideration of the beneficial uses and users of groundwater in the subbasin, the parties agree to the following:

- Parties are committed to an inclusive and transparent process that proactively seeks the engagement and input of potentially impacted parties as identified in SGMA. Parties will work to develop protocols for public engagement, both at public workshops and during regular Working Group meetings.
- Parties will work collectively to develop an agreed-upon outreach plan, but each GSA is responsible for guiding efforts within their respective jurisdictions.
- Parties recognize the value in developing shared messages to ensure consistency; joint participation in outreach efforts is encouraged to foster consistency in message and concretely demonstrate the parties' coordinated effort.
- Parties recognize the need to conduct outreach in the near-term to better understand additional representation needs (e.g., environmental, tribal, riparian water users, overlying water users, etc.) beyond the signatories to this agreement. Parties commit to revisit the near-term collaboration structure, as necessary, to account for public feedback.

Media Contacts

Working Group members are encouraged to forward any media inquiries to the Water Forum. When talking to the press, Working Group members are asked to represent their own views only. Water Forum staff will coordinate with the Working Group to develop any needed "talking points" for media and other interested parties.

Attachment 1 - Near-Term Fiscal and Non-Fiscal Decisions

Below is a listing of the possible near-term fiscal and non-fiscal decisions to be made over the next 6 to 12 months. This list has been developed in consultation with the Working Group and is current as of June 2017. This list will be revisited and updated, as needed,

Fiscal Decisions Expected in the Next 6-12 Months

- Consultant hiring/scope
- 2017 budget (July-December 2017)
- 2018 budget (January-June 2018)
- Prop 1 proposal development
- GSA cost-share arrangements
- Grant applicant/administrator selection

Non-Fiscal Decisions Expected in the Next 6-12 Months

- Outreach efforts/stakeholder involvement
- Basin boundary adjustments
- Basin spokesperson

Long-term governance decisions are not expected within the next 6-12 months. To the extent it should prove timely to make long-term governance decisions within the next 6-12 months, the Working Group will strive for consensus.

This draft, prepared by the Water Forum and Consensus Building Institute at the direction of the Cosumnes Subbasin Working Group, is a work-in-progress intended to spark discussion among parties and propel and track progress. It has not yet been endorsed by the Working Group. This document reflects the most recent changes based on the 6/26/17 Cosumnes SGMA Working Group meeting.

Attachment 2 – Near-Term Cost Estimate

<i>Near-Term Cost Estimate</i>					
<u>Task</u>	<u>Staff / Contractor</u>	<u>Funding Type</u>	<u>July - Dec 2017</u>		
			<u>Funder</u>	<u>\$/month</u>	<u>Total \$</u>
<u>Independent technical advisor</u>	<u>TBD</u>	<u>Contract</u>	<u>WF</u>	<u>8,000</u>	<u>48,000</u>
<u>Facilitation support</u>	<u>CBI</u>	<u>Contract</u>	<u>WF</u>	<u>10,000</u>	<u>60,000</u>
<u>Technical and logistical support</u>	<u>WF staff</u>	<u>In-kind</u>	<u>WF</u>	<u>4,000</u>	<u>24,000</u>
<u>Grant writing</u>	<u>WF staff</u>	<u>In-kind</u>	<u>WF</u>	<u>4,000</u>	<u>20,000</u>
<u>Technical resource</u>	<u>GEI/HDR</u>	<u>Contract</u>	<u>Sac. Co.</u>	<u>1,000</u>	<u>6,000</u>
<u>Outreach / Stakeholder Engagement</u>	<u>CBI & Other</u>	<u>Contract</u>	<u>WF</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>12,000</u>
<u>Local data collection and analysis</u>	<u>GSA staff</u>	<u>In-kind</u>	<u>GSAs</u>	<u>1,000</u>	<u>6,000</u>
<u>Total</u>					<u>176,000</u>

This draft, prepared by the Water Forum and Consensus Building Institute at the direction of the Cosumnes Subbasin Working Group, is a work-in-progress intended to spark discussion among parties and propel and track progress. It has not yet been endorsed by the Working Group. This document reflects the most recent changes based on the 6/26/17 Cosumnes SGMA Working Group meeting.